

STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284)
United States Attorney

THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493)
Chief, Criminal Division

ALEXANDRA SHEPARD (CABN 205143)
KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT (CABN 276256)
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
FAX: (415) 436-7234
Alexandra.shepard@usdoj.gov
Katherine.lloyd-lovett@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR-21-00294 WHO
Plaintiff,) [FILED JULY 27, 2021]

v.)

PAUL FREDERICK GIUSTI,)
Defendant.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR-21-00456 WHO
Plaintiff,) [FILED SEPTEMBER 9, 2021]

v.)

RECOLOGY SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,)
Defendants.)

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

U.S. v. GIUSTI; US v. RECOLOGY S.F., et al.; US v. NURU; US v. PORTER

v. 7/10/2018

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 MOHAMMED NURU,

5 Defendant.

) NO. CR-21-00490 WHO
) [FILED DECEMBER 16, 2021]
)
)
)
)
)
)

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 JOHN FRANCIS PORTER,

11 Defendant.

) NO. CR-22-00270 CRB
) [FILED JULY 21, 2022]
)
)
)
)
)
)

) NOTICE OF RELATED CASE IN A CRIMINAL
) ACTION
)
)
)
)
)
)

12
13 The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the
14 four above-captioned criminal cases are related. The newly-filed case is *United States v. John Francis*
15 *Porter*, No. CR-22-00270 CRB. Defendant Porter is charged with conspiracy to commit bribery of a
16 local official, Mohammed Nuru, and with bribery of Nuru. During the period of time relevant to the
17 charges, Porter worked for entities charged in No. CR-21-00456 WHO. Paul Giusti is identified as a co-
18 conspirator in Porter's indictment. Based upon these facts, the cases are related within the meaning of
19 Local Rule 8-1(b)(1) because they involve the same events and occurrences. Furthermore, the cases are
20 related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(2) because, if heard by separate judges, the actions
21 likely would involve substantial duplication of labor by the two judges. Per the requirement of Local
22 Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that assignment of these cases to a single judge is
23 likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of each action.

24 DATED: July 25, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

25 STEPHANIE M. HINDS
26 United States Attorney

27 /s/ Katherine M. Lloyd-Lovett
28 KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT
Assistant United States Attorney

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

U.S. v. GIUSTI; US v. RECOLOGY S.F., et al.; US v. NURU; US v. PORTER

v. 7/10/2018